ON THE FOURTH INTEGER DIMENSION SUBGROUP

BY

E. RIPS

ABSTRACT

An example of a group of class 3 with a non-trivial fourth integer dimension subgroup is given.

According to [1], groups with a trivial (n + 1)st integer dimension subgroup form a quasi-variety which we shall denote by \mathfrak{Q}_n . In this note, we describe a set of quasi-identities and prove that all of them are carried out in \mathfrak{Q}_3 . After that a group of class 3 not satisfying some of these quasi-identities is constructed. That means that the theorem of Magnus [2], stating that in a free group the *n*th integer dimension subgroup coincides with the *n*th term of the lower central series, cannot be extended to arbitrary groups.

We introduce some notation and terminology. For any group G, G_n is the *n*th term of the lower central series of G, gp(S) is the subgroup of G generated by the subset S, $mG = \text{gp}(g^m/g \in G)$, ZG is the integer group ring of the group G, $\Delta(G)$ is the augmentation ideal of ZG, i.e., the ideal generated by the elements of the form g - e ($g \in G$), $\delta_n(G) = \text{gp}(g/g - e \in \Delta^n(G))$ is the *n*th integer dimension subgroup of G.

I am grateful to L. E. Krop whose remarks helped to improve the style of this note and to Dr. Avinoam Mann who has indicated a means of shortening the proof essentially.

1. A system of quasi-identities

THEOREM Let there be given integers k, c_i , d_{ij} $(1 \le i, j \le k, c_i \ge 0)$ and elements g_1, \dots, g_k of a group G. Let $2^{c_i}d_{ij} = b_{ij}$. Suppose the following conditions

Received March 7, 1972

(1)
$$b_{ij} + b_{ji} = 0 \ (1 \le i, j \le k),$$

(2) if
$$c_i = c_j$$
 then d_{ij} is even,

(3)
$$g_i^{2^{e_i}} \in G_2(1 \leq i \leq k),$$

(4)
$$\prod_{i=1}^{r} g_i^{b_{ij}} \in (2^{c_j}G_2)G_3 \ (1 \leq j \leq k),$$

.

hold. Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=i+1}^{k} [g_i, g_j]^{b_{ij}} \in \delta_4(G).$$

REMARK. According to the Theorem the following statement holds in \mathfrak{Q}_3 : (S) If for some integers k, $c_1, d_{ij} (1 \le i, j \le k, c_i \ge 0)$ and elements g_1, \dots, g_k of a group G, the conditions (1), (2), (3), (4) hold, then

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=i+1}^{k} [g_{i},g_{j}]^{b_{ij}} = e.$$

It is easy to see that (S) is equivalent to a conjunction of an infinite number of quasi-identities and to find their explicit form.

PROOF. We have to show that

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i+1}^k [g_i,g_j]b_{ij} - e \in \Delta^4(G).$$

As $g_i^{2^{c_i}} \in G_2$, so $g_i^{2^{c_i}} - e \in \Delta^2(G)$ and

$$2^{c_i}(g_i - e) = g_i^{2^{c_i}} - e - \sum_{m=2}^{2^{c_i}} {\binom{2^{c_i}}{m}} (g_i - e)^m \in \Delta^2(G).$$

According to (1) and (2), b_{ij} is divisible by at least one of the integers 2^{c_i+1} and 2^{c_j+1} and, respectively, $\binom{b_{ij}}{2}$ is divisible either by 2^{c_i} or by 2^{c_j} . As

$$g_i^{b_{ij}}-e=\sum_{m=1}^{b_{ij}}\binom{b_{ij}}{m}(g_i-e)^m,$$

so

$$b_{ij}(g_i-e) + {b_{ij} \choose 2}(g_i-e)^2 - (g_i^{b_{ij}}-e) \in \Delta^3(G),$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left\{ b_{ij}(g_i - e) + {\binom{b_{ij}}{2}}(g_i - e)^2 - (g_i^{b_{ij}} - e) \right\} (g_j - e) \in \Delta^4(G).$$

Using the remarks mentioned above we have

$$\binom{b_{ij}}{2}(g_i-e)^2(g_j-e)\in\Delta^4(G).$$

From (4) follows

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (g_i^{b_{ij}} - e) \in 2^{c_j} \Delta^2(G) + \Delta^3(G)$$

whence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (g_i^{b_{ij}} - e) (g_j - e) \in \Delta^4(G)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{ij}(g_i - e)(g_j - e) \in \Delta^4(G) .$$

Using (1) we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{ij}(g_i - e)(g_j - e) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=i+1}^{k} b_{ij} \{(g_i - e)(g_j - e) - (g_j - e)(g_i - e)\}.$$

Now recall the well-known identity (see, for example, [3]):

$$(g_i - e)(g_j - e) - (g_j - e)(g_i - e) = [g_i, g_j] - e + (g_i - e)([g_i, g_j] - e) + (g_j - e)([g_i, g_j] - e) + (g_j - e)(g_i - e)([g_i, g_j] - e).$$

As $[g_i, g_j] - e \in \Delta^2(G)$, so

$$(g_{j} - e)(g_{i} - e)([g_{i}, g_{j}] - e) \in \Delta^{4}(G),$$

$$2^{c_{i}}(g_{i} - e)([g_{i}, g_{j}] - e) \in \Delta^{4}(G),$$

$$b_{ij}(g_{j} - e)([g_{i}, g_{j}] - e) \in \Delta^{4}(G).$$

Hence,
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=i+1}^{k} b_{ij}([g_{i}, g_{j}] - e) \in \Delta^{4}(G)$$

and, correspondingly,

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=i+1}^k [g_i,g_j]^{b_{ij}} - e \in \Delta^4(G),$$

as required.

2. An example of a group of class 3 with a non-vanishing fourth integer dimension subgroup

Let the group G have generators $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3, c$ and defining relations

344

Vol. 12, 1972

. 64 . 16

$$b_1^{64} = b_2^{16} = b_3^4 = c^{256} = e,$$

$$[b_2, b_1] = [b_3, b_1] = [b_3, b_2] = [c, b_1] = [c, b_2] = [c, b_3] = e,$$

$$a_0^{64} = b_1^{32}, a_1^{64} = b_2^{-4}b_3^{-2}, a_2^{16} = b_1^4b_3^{-1}, a_3^4 = b_1^2b_2,$$

$$[a_1, a_0] = b_1c^2, [a_2, a_0] = b_2c^8, [a_3, a_0] = b_3c^{32},$$

$$[a_2, a_1] = c, [a_3, a_1] = c^2, [a_3, a_2] = c^4,$$

$$[b_1, a_1] = c^4, [b_2, a_2] = c^{16}, [b_3, a_3] = c^{64},$$

$$[b_i, a_j] = e \text{ if } i \neq j, [c, a_i] = e \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

It is easy to verify that $G_2 = gp(b_1, b_2, b_3, c)$, $G_3 = gp(c^4)$, $G_4 = E$. An immediate calculation shows that if we set k = 3, $c_1 = 6$, $c_2 = 4$, $c_3 = 2$, $d_{11} = 0, d_{12} = 2, d_{13} = 1, d_{21} = -8, d_{22} = 0, d_{23} = 2, d_{31} = -16, d_{32} = -8,$ $d_{33} = 0$, $g_1 = a_1$, $g_2 = a_2$, $g_3 = a_3$, then the conditions (1), (2), (3), (4) of the Theorem hold and therefore

$$[g_1,g_2]^{128}[g_1,g_3]^{64}[g_2,g_3]^{32} = c^{128} \in \delta_4(G).$$

It remains to prove that $c^{128} \neq e$. To do this we can use a result from extension theory. In [4, ch. III, p. 8] a condition is given for the extension of one abelian group by another. For our aims, this condition can be formulated as follows:

Let there be given positive integers n_0, \dots, n_r , an abelian group U, automorphisms $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_r$ and elements u_0, \dots, u_r, u_{ij} $(0 \le i, j \le r)$ of U. Let the group H have generators t_0, \dots, t_r and $\bar{u}(u \in U)$ and defining relations

$$\begin{split} \bar{u}\bar{v} &= \overline{uv}(u, v \in U), \\ [\bar{u}, t_i] &= \overline{u^{-1}} \ \overline{u^{\sigma_i}} \ (u \in U, 0 \leq i \leq r), \\ t_i^{n_i} &= \bar{u}_i \ (0 \leq i \leq r), \\ [t_i, t_j] &= \ \bar{u}_{ij} \ (0 \leq i, j \leq r). \end{split}$$

Let the homomorphism $\lambda: U \to H$ be defined by $u\lambda = \bar{u}$. Then λ is a monomorphism if and only if the following conditions hold:

(a)
$$\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i, \ \sigma_i^{n_i} = 1 \quad (0 \le i, j \le r),$$

(b) $u_i^{\sigma_i} = u_i, \ u_i^{\sigma_k} = u_i u_{jk}^{1 + \sigma_i + \dots + \sigma_i^{n_i - 1}} \quad (0 \le i, k \le r)$
(c) $u_{ii} = e, \ u_{ij} u_{ji} = e \quad (0 \le i, j \le r),$
(d) $u_{ij}^{\sigma_k} u_{jk}^{-1} u_{ij}^{\sigma_j} u_{jk}^{-1} u_{ki}^{\sigma_j} u_{ki}^{-1} = e \quad (0 \le i, j, k \le r).$

E. RIPS

We obtain the group G by assuming that U is an abelian group with generators s_1, s_2, s_3, t and defining relations $s_1^{64} = s_2^{16} = s_3^4 = t^{256} = e$, taking automorphisms $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ of U defined by

$$s_1\sigma_1 = \sigma_1 t^4$$
, $s_2\sigma_2 = s_2 t^{16}$, $s_3\sigma_3 = s_3 t^{64}$, $s_i\sigma_j = s_i$ if $i \neq j$, $t\sigma_i = t$

and setting

$$r = 3, n_0 = 64, n_1 = 64, n_2 = 16, n_3 = 4, t_i = a_i, \bar{s}_i = b_i, \bar{t} = c, u_0 = s_1^{32}, u_1 = s_2^{-4} s_3^{-2}, u_2 = s_1^{4} s_3^{-1}, u_3 = s_1^{2} s_2, u_{10} = s_1 t^{2}, u_{20} = s_2 t^{8}, u_{30} = s_3 t^{32}, u_{21} = t, u_{31} = t^{2}, u_{32} = t^{4}.$$

It can be immediately verified that the conditions (a), (b), (c), (d) hold and, therefore, according to the criterion mentioned above the monomorphism $\phi: u \to G$ defined by $s_i \phi = b_i$, $t\phi = c$ is a monomorphism. Hence $c^{128} = t^{128} \phi \neq e$, as required.

References

1. B. I. Plotkin, Varieties and quasi-varieties connected with representations of groups, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 196 (1971), 527–530. (Russian, English translation: Soviet Math. Dokl., 12 (1971), 192–196).

2. W. Magnus, Über Beziehungen Zwischen hoheren Kommutatoren, J. Reine Angew. Math., 177 (1937), 105-115.

3. S. A. Jennings, The group ring of a class of infinite nilpotent groups, Canad. J. Math., 7 (1955), 169-187.

4. H. Zassenhaus, The Theory of Groups, 2nd ed., Chelsea, New York.

THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM